College of Business

The leader fluidly adapted

approach to meet multiple

his or her style and

Undergraduate Learning Objective (Project Leader Self Eval)—Reviewed 12 November 2012<sup>1</sup>

MGMT 356 – Leadership and Human Behavior

Project Leader Self-Evaluation - To be completed by the Project Leader

Name of Student L	Leader:		Names of Group	_ Names of Group Members:					
Project:			Date:						
Instructor/Rater:			Rating Total:						
			Project Leade	ership					
CRITERIA	Unsatisfactory 1	Below Expectations 2	Meets Expectations 3	Above Expectations 4	Outstanding 5	Comments			
Create a vision and establish goals for the project.	Vision not apparent. Goals not established. Project purpose, aims, etc. and done out of need only. Not clear.	Evidence of a vision but Goals not established. Project purpose, aims, etc. are partially defined	Evidence of a vision & purpose of project. Goals are developed.	Clear evidence of project purpose. Goals are developed; are consistent with desired outcomes.	Extremely well-constructed goals for project. Very clear expression of purpose & vision with steps to attain it.				
Communicate Effectively.	Vision, goals, and tasks were poorly communicated. Followers were not involved in the process.	Vision, goals, and tasks were slightly communicated. Followers had very low involvement in the process.	Vision, goals, and tasks were sufficiently communicated with limited confusion. Followers had some say in the project.	Vision, goals, and tasks were well communicated. Leader engaged. Leader listened to follower's suggestions and feedback.	Vision, goals, and tasks were extremely well communicated. Leader engaged followers in dialogue about project.				

	Seemed unable or unwilling to adapt.			that it improved the project quality.	challenging situations.	
Leader/	The leader did not show	The leader showed	The leader	The leader was effective	Followers went the extra	
Follower	concern or try to develop	minimal concern or	developed	in creating effective	mile for this person because	
Relations.	effective relationships.	minimally tried to	relationships well	leader-follower relations	of the transformational	
		develop effective	enough; it did not	that inspired trust and	quality of relations he/she	
		relationships	interfere with	quality effort.	established as a leader.	
			project completion.			

The leader was able to

her style in such a way

recognize & adapt his or

The leader's style

leading the project

was effective in

The leader chose a

style which was

ineffective

Leadership

Style.

The leader gave no

and approach.

consideration to style

Score

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Raw data is collected and sent to AoL Administrator (as of 11-12-2012, Dr. Offstein) from Key Professor (Dr. McClellan)

Teamwork.	Our group displayed no evidence of teamwork.	displayed minimal evidence of	Our group worked together well enough as a team to achieve our goals.	were of go resul	group members highly supportive bals & each other ting in effective work.	This was one of the best team I have been on. Everyone was united aroun a common goal and extremely supportive.		
			Project Assessm	ont A	2		Subtotal	
		To be com	pleted by the Leader					
Goal Attainment.	Project goals were not attained.	Project goals were partially attained.	Project goals were attained.	e	Goals were attained completely & expressed well.	Goals were attained and surpassed. Much is achieved.		
Project Quality.	Project negatively impacted client	Project made a small but less than necessary contribution.	, Project met the ne of the client group organization.		Project went beyond the basic requirement of the client group or organization.			
Rigor- Time.	< 2 hours of service.	2-2.5 hours of service.	2.5 – 3.0 hours of service.		3 – 3.5 hours of service.	3.5 to 4 (or more) hours of service.		
Rigor-Effort.	Minimal effort on the part of 1 participant.	Minimal to average effort on the part of 2 participants.	Average effort on part of all participants.	the	Difficult to accomplish.	Very Challenging.		
							Subtotal	
		To be some	Paper Assessme					
Content Theory to	Did not relate to	Theories were stated	Theories were sta		Theories were applie	d Theories were		
Practice.	theory	or referred to, but were not explained.	with brief but sufficient explana		and thoroughly explained.	applied, explained, and pros/cons of the theories were presented.		
Content Materials and Documentatio		Content was based on the project with minor reference to class materials. Sources were mentioned but not cited.			Content was based on the project, class material, as well as 3 4 significant outside sources, and properly cited.	<ul> <li>the project, material</li> <li>from class material,</li> <li>and &gt;5 exceptional</li> </ul>		

Writing/Clarity.	>2.5 errors per page & many writing issues.	2.5- 2 errors per page & many writing issues.	1.6-2 errors per page & some writing issues	1.1-1.5 errors per page & clearly written.	Less than 1 error per page & clearly written.		
						Subtotal	

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Undergraduate Learning Objective (Leader Eval. of Follower's Perform.)—Reviewed 2 October 2012<sup>2</sup> To be completed by the Leader for EACH follower. There MUST be Justification for each factor.

The leader will assess EACH of the followers on each category and provide justification for the rating.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Raw data is collected and sent to AoL Administrator (as of 10-2-2012, Dr. Offstein) from Key Professor (Dr. McClellan)

CRITERIA	Unsatisfactory 1	Below Expectations 2	Meets Expectations 3	Above Expectations 4	Outstanding 5	Justification
Initiative & Engagement	Follower did not participate in the project	Follower participated but demonstrated little or no initiative or engagement in the project	The follower was engaged in the projected and contributed his or her share to its completion.	The follower took initiative to make the project better. He or she was very engaged.	The project achieved a higher level of success because of the extremely high level of engagement and initiative of this follower	
Alignment	This follower was critical from the beginning of the project and did more to hurt than help	This follower went along with things openly but did not give his or her insights regarding the project	The follower conformed to what we were doing and contributed as necessary	This follower was willing to challenge us to improve and do things better and to get on board to get things done	This follower was truly exceptional, His/her willingness to challenge our thinking & commitment made this project successful	
Influence	This follower had a negative influence on others in the group	This follower did his or her work, but did not influence others	This follower had a positive influence on others as the project proceeded	This follower's positive influence made the project better & more enjoyable for everyone	This follower demonstrated truly exceptional informal leadership in the project.	
Information Finding and Sharing	This follower did not research or provide information that we needed to complete the project	This follower shared information he or she felt was important but did not actively seek important information for the project	This follower both sought and shared information in such a way that the project was completed successfully	This follower actively sought out information to improve the project quality and shared it with us	This follower was extremely effective in finding out what we needed to know and sharing it with us in a way that made the project exceptional	